

Powered by ZoomGrants™

Salt Lake City Housing and Neighborhood Development Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Fiscal Year 2017-2018 1/3/2017 deadline

Salt Lake City Corporation Miller Park Trail ADA Access Improvements & Historic Structure Preservation

			i i coci vation
\$ 425,000.00 Requested	Salt Lake City Corporation		ž.
Submitted: 1/3/2017 1:29:22 PM (Pacific)	Public Services	Telepho Fax	one801-535-7778 801-535-7963
Project Contact Lisa Shaffer <u>publicservicesinfo@slcgov.com</u> Tel: 801-535-7753	Department P.O. Box 145469 Salt Lake City 84114- United States	Web	www.slcgov.com/node/942
Additional Contacts lewis.kogan@slcgov.com,kristin.riker@slcgov.com,jwalandscape@gmail.com	Director Lisa Shaffer lisa.shaffer@slcgov.com		

Application Questions

1. Project Address/Location. If city wide input CW. List district(s) project is located in. Attach a map of the project in the documents tab.

Approximately 1730E 900S. District 6.

2. Project Category and Priority

Mark the category for this project, then mark the priority box and input the priority number for this project. You are only allowed one number 1, one number 2 and so forth for each category.

Recurring Project

Multiple Phase Project

Master Plan Implemenation

✔ New Project

Other

Priority # explain: #5(New Project Priority) #14(Overall PPL)To mitigate a sometimes-hazardous section of trail & prevent deterioration of a historic structure.

3. Multiple Phase Project

If you selected Multiple Phase Project as the category, provide how many phases this project consists of and what phase the project is currently in. Example: phase 1 of 3 phases or phase 4 of 6 phases. No

4. Constituent Applicant

Is this application being submitted on behalf of a constituent?

✓ Yes

No

5. Project Type

Design Only

Construction Only

✓ Design and Construction

6. Project Timeline

Use format Month Year, month abbreviated, Dec 2017. Character limit 10.

 Apr 2018	Design Date
 Dec 2018	Construction Date
Apr 2019	Completion Date
	TOTAL

7. Scope Of work

Provide a concise scope of work that describes in detail the activities that will be provided with Salt Lake City's CIP funds, the tasks that will be performed with the requested funding. This will be used to inform decision makers about the project.

The project includes desirable modifications to the walking path at the Lee Charles Miller Bird Refuge. The modifications have strong support from the Yalecrest Community and park-adjacent residents, but could not be accomplished within project budgets or timelines during SLC's 2014 restoration project. This project will make the majority of the park ADA accessible, will eliminate hazardously-steep trail sections, protect a structurally-threatened historic Works Progress Administration-era wall, and install a walking bridge where visitors can admire Red Butte Creek as it enters the Park. Improvements will protect recent habitat restoration and stormwater control investments in the park. The project will also restore a trail alignment that was favored by neighborhood residents as indicated by a petition submitted to the City in 2013 (included in attachments).

8.	Sustai	nability	Comp	onents
٠.	ouotu.	. I CONTILLEY	COLLIN	OHICHE

Does this project include sustainable components?

✓ Yes

┌ No

9. Incorporate Sustainability

How does/could this project incorporate current/future sustainable components into it?

This project will help ensure equitable (including ADA) access to open space, which is an explicit goal of the Sustainable Salt Lake Plan. Project will also provide additional area for tree plantings that can supply bird habitat within the Miller Bird Refuge.

10. Sustainability Cost Savings

List all cost savings anticipated by applying sustainable practices/components to this project.

Purchasing new public open space is an expensive proposition, and scenic open space in the densely-developed Yalecrest Neighborhood especially so. By modifying user amenities in an existing open space area to better accommodate diverse users helps meet public demand for access to natural areas without necessitating additional land acquisitions.

11. Impact Fee Facility Plan (IFFP)

Is this project listed on the IFFP plan? If so, provide details No

12. City Master Plan Implementation

IV	<i>lark</i>	the i	Master	Plans i	hat this	s project	aligns with.	For more	information	about	Master F	Plans see	the link	provided in	the Lih	rary se	ection
	_	A.,												promaca n	, till Lib	ury oc	Jouron.

i	Avenues
-	Capitol Hill
	Central Community
	City Creek
ſ-	Downtown
V	East Bench
Γ	Northwest Quadrant
	Sugar House
	Westside Master Plan

stside Master Plan

Transportation Master Plan

✔ Other: Salt Lake City Open Space Plan (1992), Site Implementation Plan for Miller Park (1987)

13. Maintenance and/or Operational Expenses

Provide details about ongoing and/or additional operational and maintenance expenses for this project. Explain the funding short- & long-term impacts and the funding source that will contribute to these expenses.

O&M expenses for desired improvements are anticipated at less than \$1,000/year, and are predicted to result in long-term reductions to O&M costs for the existing trail infrastructure, historic wall, and hill-slope retaining walls. O&M expenses are not anticipated to increase and can be covered within existing Open Space Lands Program budget for Miller Park Maintenance.

Currently, Open Space Program maintenance staff must apply ice melt to trail sections in Miller Park, which would no longer be necessary with project implementation.

14. Expected Useful Life

Describe the expected useful life of this project.

The expected useful life of the project components is 50 - 75 years, with some maintenance of log retaining walls required.

15. Various Project Elements

If this project includes various elements, how could those elements be separated into smaller funding requests? Example: One project request totals \$2M, project elements - acquisition \$1M; study, design & fees \$300k; phase 1 \$350k; phase 2 \$350k

Project elements, including wall stabilization, trail relocation and bridge crossing are integral to one-another and cannot easily be separated into smaller sub-projects.

16. Benefits if funded

Provide benefits, including efficiencies created, service enhancements, and cost savings that are critical to fund this project this fiscal year. If funded this fiscal year, the city will be able to quickly take advantage of access improvements in Miller Bird Refuge, including ADA accessibility along most of the park's trail system. A section of trail that can become icy and hazardous in the wintertime will relocated, resolving the issue. Retaining walls and historic structures potentially at risk to blow-out and slope failure would be protected and stabilized, preventing costly emergency streambank reinforcement and trail remediation.

17. Consequences if NOT funded

Describe any short/long term consequences of not receiving funding for this project this fiscal year.

If the project is not funded this fiscal year, it is possible that slope instabilities will appear which threaten or damage a historic WPA-era wall and/or result in slope failures, trail collapse or stream sedimentation or blockage events. No failures have been observed to-date but significant undermining of wall sections is apparent.

18. Coordinate Timing of Project

What sort of outreach has been done to coordinate the timing of this project with internal or external departments or businesses. Public Utilities, RDA, other external utility companies.

The project will be coordinated with SLC Public Utilities, and Salt Lake County Flood Control. Project coordination has occurred with SLC Parks & Public Lands. Utility locations and survey work has already occurred during the city's 2014 restoration project in Miller Park.

19. Is there anything else that needs to be known about this project? No

Budget

General Fund	Class C	Impact Fees	Other	
\$ 37,000.00				
\$ 74,000.00				
\$ 314,000.00				
\$ 0.00				
\$ 0.00				
\$ 0.00				
\$ 0.00				
\$ 425,000.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
	\$ 37,000.00 \$ 74,000.00 \$ 314,000.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	\$ 37,000.00 \$ 74,000.00 \$ 314,000.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	\$ 37,000.00 \$ 74,000.00 \$ 314,000.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	\$ 37,000.00 \$ 74,000.00 \$ 314,000.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00

Budget Narrative

Project is proposed to be fully funded through CIP. Potential exists for funding assistance from SLC Public Utilities for in-stream riparian enhancements if required. Project partners will pursue additional opportunities to leverage private funding.

Documents

Documents Requested *

Map of the project

Required? Attached Documents *

Project Proposal Diagram

Project Area Map (Plan View)

Pictures of the project area

Supporting documents

Application ID: 69578

Become a fan of ZoomGrants™ on Facebook Problems? Contact us at Questions@ZoomGrants.com @2002-2017 GrantAnalyst.com. All rights reserved. "ZoomGrants" and the ZoomGrants logo are trademarks of GrantAnalyst.com. LLC. Logout | Browser

^{*} ZoomGrants™ is not responsible for the content of uploaded documents.